W.G. (BILL) HEFNER 8TH DISTRICT, NORTH CAROLINA

2470 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 (202) 225-3715 FAX (202) 225-4036

COMMITTEES:

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON DEFENSE

CHAIRMON: SUBCOMMITTEE ON MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

EXPARTE OR LATE PILLED

Onngress of the United States 705

House of The United States House of Representatives

Washington, **BC** 20515

RECEIVED

P.O. BOX 385 101 UNION STREET, SOUTH CONCORD, NC 28025 (704) 933-1615 OR 786-1612 FAX (704) 782-1004

DISTRICT OFFICES:

P.O. BOX 4220 OMNIBANK BUILDING 507 WEST INNES STREET, SUITE 225 SALISBURY, NC 25144 (704) 635-635 FAX (704) 633-6271

P.O. BOX 1603 230 EAST FRANKLIN STREET ROCKINGHAM, NC 28379 (919) 987-2070 FAX (919) 997-7987

	_		FEDERAL COMMUNICATION	W.J.J	FAX (919) 907-7987
· r	Palence aure	2.22 ^ 0 ^ 0	COMMITTING		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
T					
. (
					-
<u> </u>					
·g					
	-				<u></u>
\					
			£-7		
		 			
¥					
Α					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			*-		
<u>-</u>					

		<u>, </u>			
\(\frac{1}{2}\)		I.			
•					
<u>*</u>					
			~		
1					
1					
¹					
Æ 					
					
7					
\$					
13 -					

RECEIVED

MAR - 8 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

4518 Ranchway Dr. Harrisburg, N. C. 28075 February 1, 1993

The Honorable W. G. Hefner United States House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Hefner,

I am writing to you today concerning PR Docket 92-235 from the FCC. This proposed rule change from the FCC has a profound effect on model frequency use in this country. I am active in the field of radio controlled model aircraft. My son and I enjoy the time we spend together in this activity. We are members of a club here in North Carolina as well as members of the national Academy of Model Aeronautics. We have invested a good deal of time and money in the model aircraft we fly. This is why I am concerned about the PR Docket 92-235. It is my belief that, if these new rules are allowed to be put into pratice, it will jepardize the model frequencies on 72 and 75 MHz.

At issue here, beyond the financial involvement, is safety. Many times at our club events and on ordinary weekends we have spectators at our club field. It is possible within these proposed rules to have

	 <i>L</i> . 		
Y 22 - 22 - 23 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 - 24 -	<u>*</u>		
1 2 -			
	<u> </u>		
Migray -			
Pylan			
*			
<u> </u>			
1			
3			
,			

FED 1 1 EP3

The Honorable Bill Hefner United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

RECEIVED

MAR - 8 1983

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Senator Hefner:

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either interfering with other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model airplanes have wing spans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point. They are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contest where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as businessusers of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72 - 76 MHz band.

Sincerely, William R Hickel

William R Hickok 802 Williamsburg Dr Concord NC 28025

RECEIVED

The Honorable Bill Hefrer 2470 Rayburn House Office Washington, D.C. 20515

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Mr. Nefrer I have been interested in aveation for at long as I can remember. I am very active an a local club, Cumbuland County Remote Control Club, whose members enjoy constructing and operating radio Controlled model auplance. I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the FCC. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. Yadapted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usabelity of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidente and attendant hisbility for Controllers madel andanis

Jan 1

the conful condination and use of the ractio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies or diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequences well become congested and the margin & safety well be greatly dicreased. Thease undustand that many model auplanes have were spons up till feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 founds The models themselves are expensive to build as are the radios, but more to the point, they are capable of coursing property damage, Sevous injury, or wer bleath if radio interference course the operator to lose control of the auplane. We Often fly our models at organized wents and contests where hundred's of operators farticipate. We need the use four full complement à radio frequencies. in older to assure a rape flying enveryment. I do not think it is wise Ig the FCC to seek to improve the operating Conditions of land mobile radio usual at the expense of radio central modelus. The tCC may not think we are as

but we have a considerable investment in one models and in one radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development. I the commercial aviation industry. I lease help me continue the safe enjoyment of my fastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely, Ronald J. J. yours